

# Computing the Lie Algebra of the Differential Galois Group of a Linear Differential System

M. A. Barkatou, T. Cluzeau, J.-A. Weil  
Univ. de Limoges  
CNRS ; XLIM UMR 7252  
123 av. Albert Thomas, 87 060 Limoges, France  
forename.surname@unilim.fr

L. Di Vizio  
Univ. de Versailles Saint-Quentin-En-Yvelines  
Laboratoire de Mathématiques ; UMR 8100  
45 av. des États-Unis, 78035 Versailles, France  
divizio@math.cnrs.fr

## ABSTRACT

We consider a linear differential system  $[A] : \mathbf{y}' = A\mathbf{y}$ , where  $A$  has coefficients in the differential field  $\mathbb{C}(x)$ . The differential Galois group  $G$  of  $[A]$  is a linear algebraic group which measures the algebraic relations among solutions. Although there exist general algorithms to compute  $G$ , none of them is either practical or implemented. This paper proposes an algorithm, of probabilistic nature, to compute the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$ . The algorithm is implemented in MAPLE.

## Keywords

Computer algebra, Algorithms, Linear differential systems, Differential Galois theory, Lie algebras, Grothendieck-Katz  $p$ -curvature conjecture, Eigenrings, Reduced forms

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Given a linear differential system  $[A] : \mathbf{y}' = A\mathbf{y}$  with  $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C}(x))$ , its differential Galois group  $G$  measures everything that algebra can see about the solutions, see [24]. For example, it measures solvability (with applications to integrability of dynamical systems, see references in [1, 2]), reducibility, transcendence properties for number theory, and so on. In theory, there exist general algorithms for computing differential Galois groups. Compoint and Singer gave such an algorithm in [11] in the case of reductive groups. Hrushovski gave a general algorithm in [18] which was recently clarified and improved by Feng in [15]. A symbolic-numeric algorithm is proposed by van der Hoeven in [23], based on the Schlesinger-Ramis density theorems. However, although these are wonderful decision procedures, none of them are either practical or implemented.

For a large class of problems, it is sufficient to compute the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$  (which amounts to computing the connected component of the identity  $G^\circ$ ) instead of the differential Galois group  $G$  itself. See, for instance, the work by Nguyen and van der Put in [21] where they study when a given differential system can be solved in terms of systems

of lower order. The purpose of the present paper is to use a similar philosophy for computing  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Our starting point is the theory of Katz ([20]). Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the differential module associated with  $[A]$ . There is a theoretical identification (tannakian correspondence) between  $\mathfrak{g}$  and a submodule  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $\text{End}(\mathcal{M})$ . Our main contribution is to make this identification algorithmic and provide an effective algorithm to compute  $\mathfrak{g}$  when  $\mathcal{M}$  is absolutely irreducible. To achieve this, we proceed in four main steps. The first step (Section 3) consists in computing a maximal decomposition of  $\text{End}(\mathcal{M})$ . Using eigenring's techniques, this requires to compute rational solutions ([3]) of a structured system of dimension  $n^4$ . By exploiting the structure of the system, we reduce this problem to computing rational solutions of systems of lower dimensions which significantly improves the complexity of this step. In Section 4, to find a candidate for the submodule  $\mathcal{W}$  (corresponding to  $\mathfrak{g}$ ), we use a modular approach based on Grothendieck-Katz conjecture (see Conjecture 4.1). We choose a prime  $p$ , compute the  $p$ -curvature  $\chi_p$  ([9]) and identify the submodule of  $\text{End}(\mathcal{M})$  whose reduction modulo  $p$  contains  $\chi_p$ . This provides a guess for  $\mathcal{W}$  which is given by a basis  $M_1, \dots, M_d$  of matrices in  $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C}(x))$ . The next steps (Section 5) rely on the fact that  $\mathfrak{g}$  can also be directly read off from a reduced form of  $[A]$  (see Theorem 5.1). Using recent results from [2], we then prove that computing a reduction matrix amounts to computing a conjugation matrix between two semi-simple Lie sub-algebras of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}(x))$  respectively generated by the  $M_i$  and their evaluations  $M_i(x_0)$  at some ordinary point  $x_0$  of  $[A]$ . For the third step of our algorithm, we use a method for computing conjugation matrices based on results on semi-simple Lie algebras ([19, 13]). In our last step, we find a reduction matrix among the conjugation matrices. If our guess for  $\mathcal{W}$  is not correct, then the third and fourth steps may fail. In this case, we go back to the second step and restart with another prime. Our algorithm (Section 6) is probabilistic in the sense that there are examples for which we have infinitely many bad choices for  $p$  but the result is guaranteed otherwise. Note that a reduced form is obtained as a byproduct of our algorithm. We have a prototype implementation of our algorithm in MAPLE. We have applied it to many examples and it turns out that the most costly step is the decomposition of  $\text{End}(\mathcal{M})$ . This step has a polynomial complexity in  $n$  ([6]) compared to the exponential (several levels) complexity in  $n$  of the existing algorithms for computing  $G$  ([15]).

## Notations.

Throughout this paper,  $k \triangleq \mathbb{C}(x)$  denotes the differential

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

field of rational functions in the (complex) variable  $x$  with the derivation  $' \triangleq \frac{d}{dx}$ . For the actual calculations in our algorithms,  $\mathbb{C}$  is replaced by a computable subfield of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . If  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  are elements of some vector space  $E$ , we denote by  $\mathbf{u}$  in bold the column vector  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1 \dots u_n)^T \in E^n$ . For a square matrix  $U \in \mathbb{M}_n(k)$  of size  $n$  with entries in  $k$ , we denote  $U_{i\bullet}$  (resp  $U_{\bullet i}$ ) the  $i$ th row (resp. column) of  $U$ , and  $\text{Vect}(U) \triangleq (U_{1\bullet}, \dots, U_{n\bullet})^T \in k^{n^2}$  is the vector obtained by stacking the vectors  $U_{i\bullet}^T$  successively. Conversely, for a vector  $\mathbf{v} \in k^{n^2}$ , we note  $\text{Mat}(\mathbf{v})$  the matrix in  $\mathbb{M}_n(k)$  obtained by the reverse operation. The *Kronecker product*  $A \otimes B$  of  $A \in \mathbb{M}_{n \times p}(k)$  and  $B \in \mathbb{M}_{q \times r}(k)$  is the matrix defined by:

$$A \otimes B \triangleq \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} B & \dots & a_{1,p} B \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n,1} B & \dots & a_{n,p} B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{M}_{nq \times pr}(k).$$

If  $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(k)$ , we denote  $[A]$  the linear differential system  $[A] : \mathbf{y}' = A\mathbf{y}$ , where  $\mathbf{y}$  is a column vector of  $n$  unknown functions. A change of variables also called *gauge transformation*  $\mathbf{y} = P\mathbf{z}$  with  $P \in \text{GL}_n(k)$  yields  $\mathbf{z}' = P[A]\mathbf{z}$ , where  $P[A] \triangleq P^{-1}(AP - P')$ . The linear differential systems  $[A]$  and  $[P[A]]$  are then said to be (*gauge*) *equivalent* over  $k$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We recall here some definitions and facts concerning differential modules, linear differential systems, differential Galois groups and their Lie algebras. See, e.g., [24] for more details.

### 2.1 Differential modules and systems

A *differential module*  $\mathcal{M}$  over  $k$  is a finite dimensional  $k$ -vector space equipped with an additive map  $\partial : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  satisfying  $\partial(fm) = f'm + f\partial(m)$ , for all  $f \in k$  and for all  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ . A *differential submodule* of  $\mathcal{M}$  is then a sub-vector space of  $\mathcal{M}$  which is stable under the action of  $\partial$ .

A differential module  $\mathcal{M}$  is *irreducible* if it has no non-trivial submodule. Otherwise it is *reducible*.  $\mathcal{M}$  is *absolutely irreducible* if  $\overline{k} \otimes_k \mathcal{M}$  is irreducible.  $\mathcal{M}$  is *decomposable* if there exist two non-trivial differential submodules  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  such that we have the direct sum decomposition  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2$ . Otherwise  $\mathcal{M}$  is *indecomposable*.  $\mathcal{M}$  is *completely reducible* if it is a direct sum of *irreducible* modules.

From Krull-Schmidt theorem, every differential module  $\mathcal{M}$  can be written as  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{M}_r$ , where the  $\mathcal{M}_i$ 's are indecomposable differential submodules of  $\mathcal{M}$  and the integer  $r$  together with the dimensions of the differential submodules  $\mathcal{M}_i$  in such a decomposition are uniquely determined by  $\mathcal{M}$ . In the sequel, such a decomposition is called a *maximal decomposition* of  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  is completely reducible, then in a maximal decomposition  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{M}_r$ , the differential submodules  $\mathcal{M}_i$  are irreducible.

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a differential module of dimension  $n$ . Choosing a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$  is associated with the linear differential system  $[A]$ , where  $A = (a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \in \mathbb{M}_n(k)$  is defined by the relations  $\partial(e_i) \triangleq -\sum_{j=1}^n a_{j,i} e_j$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . A change of basis  $\overline{\mathbf{e}} = P^T \mathbf{e}$  with  $P \in \text{GL}_n(k)$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , corresponds to a gauge transformation  $\mathbf{y} = P\mathbf{z}$  in  $[A]$ . The fact that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a reducible, decomposable or completely reducible differential module then corresponds to the fact that  $[A]$  is equivalent to a linear differential system  $[B]$  with  $B = P[A]$  block triangular, block diagonal or block diagonal with irreducible

diagonal blocks. A maximal decomposition corresponds to a block diagonal form with indecomposable diagonal blocks.

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a differential module of dimension  $n$  over  $k$ . We fix a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  and we denote by  $[A]$  the associated linear differential system. The module  $\mathcal{M}$  is called *trivial* when  $\partial(e_i) = 0$  for all  $i$ , i.e., when  $[A]$  has a full space of rational solutions. The *dual of the differential module*  $\mathcal{M}$  is the differential module  $\mathcal{M}^*$  of dimension  $n$  over  $k$  defined by  $\mathcal{M}^* \triangleq \text{Hom}_k(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{1}_k)$ , where  $\mathbb{1}_k$  is the trivial differential module of dimension 1 over  $k$ . The dual  $\mathcal{M}^*$  is endowed with the map  $\partial^*$  given by  $(\partial^*(\phi))(m) \triangleq -\phi(\partial(m)) + \partial_{\mathbb{1}_k}(\phi(m))$ , for  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^*$  and  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ . The linear differential system associated with  $\mathcal{M}^*$  with respect to the dual basis  $e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*$  defined by  $e_i^*(e_j) = 1$ , if  $i = j$  and 0 otherwise, is then  $[-A^T]$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$  be two differential modules over  $k$  endowed respectively with the maps  $\partial_1$  and  $\partial_2$ . The *tensor product* of  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$  is the differential module  $\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes_k \mathcal{M}_2$  endowed with the map  $\partial$  defined by  $\partial(m_1 \otimes m_2) \triangleq \partial_1(m_1) \otimes m_2 + m_1 \otimes \partial_2(m_2)$ , for all  $m_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1$  and for all  $m_2 \in \mathcal{M}_2$ .

Let us now consider the differential module  $\mathcal{M} \otimes_k \mathcal{M}^*$ . With respect to the basis  $e_i \otimes e_j^*$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ , the elements of  $\mathcal{M} \otimes_k \mathcal{M}^*$  can be identified with matrices in  $\mathbb{M}_n(k)$ , namely, if  $m = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\phi = \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{e}^* \in \mathcal{M}^*$ , then  $m \otimes \phi$  is identified with the Kronecker product  $\mathbf{x}^T \otimes \mathbf{y}$ . The matrix differential system associated with  $\mathcal{M} \otimes_k \mathcal{M}^*$  is then

$$F' = [A, F] \triangleq AF - FA. \quad (1)$$

If  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  are three matrices of appropriate dimensions, then we have the relation  $\text{Vect}(ABC) = (A \otimes C^T) \text{Vect}(B)$  so that (1) can be written as the linear differential system

$$\text{Vect}(F)' = \left( A \otimes I_n - I_n \otimes A^T \right) \text{Vect}(F). \quad (2)$$

Finally, from [24, Ex. 2.38(3)], if  $\mathcal{M}$  is an irreducible differential module, then  $\mathcal{M} \otimes_k \mathcal{M}^*$  is completely reducible so that all its submodules can be read of from a maximal decomposition.

### 2.2 Differential Galois group and Lie algebra

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a differential module of dimension  $n$  over  $k$ . We fix a basis of  $\mathcal{M}$  and we denote by  $[A]$  the associated linear differential system.

There exists a differential field extension  $K$  of  $k$  called *Picard-Vessiot extension* for  $\mathcal{M}$  (equivalently for  $[A]$ ) which is such that  $K$  has the same constants as  $k$  (namely, the elements of  $\mathbb{C}$ ),  $[A]$  admits a *fundamental matrix of solutions*  $U \in \text{GL}_n(K)$  and  $K$  is the differential field generated over  $k$  by the entries of  $U$  (see [24, §1.3, Prop. 1.22]).

The *differential Galois group*  $G$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  (equivalently of  $[A]$ ), is the group  $\text{Aut}_\partial(K/k)$  of differential automorphisms of the  $k$ -algebra  $K$ , i.e., for every  $g \in G$  and every  $f \in K$ , we have  $g(f') = g(f)'$  and, if  $f \in k$ , then  $g(f) = f$ . The *differential Galois correspondence* shows that we have  $K^G = k$ , where  $K^G = \{f \in K \mid \forall g \in G, g(f) = f\}$ .

The group  $G$  acts on vectors or matrices with entries in  $K$  componentwise. If  $g \in G$  and  $U \in \text{GL}_n(K)$  is a fundamental matrix of solutions of  $[A]$ , then  $g(U)$  is also a fundamental matrix of solutions of  $[A]$  so that there exists  $C_g \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $g(U) = UC_g$ . Hence choosing a fundamental matrix of solutions yields a faithful representation of  $G$  in  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ , i.e., the map  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $g \mapsto C_g$ , is an injective group homomorphism.

The group  $G$  viewed as a subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  is a *linear algebraic group* (see [24, Thm 1.27]). Indeed, there exists a polynomial ideal  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{C}[X_{1,1}, X_{1,2}, \dots, X_{n,n}, \det^{-1}]$ , where  $\det^{-1}$  is the inverse of  $\det((X_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n})$ , such that

$$G \cong \{M = (m_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid \forall P \in \mathcal{I}, P(m_{i,j}) = 0\}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  denote the *Lie algebra of the differential Galois group*  $G$ , namely, the tangent space of  $G$  at the identity. The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  can be represented as a Lie sub-algebra of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$  of  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $\mathbb{C}$  and endowed with the natural Lie bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  of matrices:

$$\mathfrak{g} \cong \{N \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid I_n + \epsilon N \in G(\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]) \text{ with } \epsilon \neq 0 \text{ and } \epsilon^2 = 0\},$$

where  $G(\mathbb{C}[\epsilon])$  is the set of  $\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]$ -points of  $G$ , i.e., the set of matrices with entries in  $\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]$  satisfying the equations of the polynomial ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  defined above.

For  $g \in G$  and  $h \in \mathfrak{g}$ , we have  $g(\mathrm{id} + \epsilon h)g^{-1} = \mathrm{id} + \epsilon(g h g^{-1}) \in G(\mathbb{C}[\epsilon])$ . Thus  $g h g^{-1} \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $G$  acts on  $\mathfrak{g}$  via the *adjoint action*:  $G \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $(g, h) \mapsto g h g^{-1}$ . In terms of matrices, the adjoint action  $\mathrm{Ad}$  is given by  $(M, N) \mapsto M N M^{-1}$ .

Let  $V$  denote the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of solutions of  $[A]$  in  $K^n$  and  $\mathrm{End}(V)$  the set of endomorphisms of  $V$ , i.e., the set of linear maps from  $V$  to  $V$ . The set  $\mathrm{End}(V)$  is naturally endowed with a Lie algebra structure denoted by  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . As  $V$  is a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of dimension  $n$ ,  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$  can be identified with  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Now, we have the following classical isomorphism of finite dimensional  $k$ -vector spaces:  $V \otimes V^* \rightarrow \mathrm{End}(V)$ ,  $v \otimes \varphi \mapsto (w \in V \mapsto \varphi(w)v)$ . Consequently *the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  can be viewed as a sub-vector space of  $V \otimes V^*$  which is stable under the adjoint action  $\mathrm{Ad}$  of  $G$ .*

With the previous notation, the *tannakian correspondence* ([24, Thm 2.33 & Cor. 2.35]) provides a one to one correspondence between sub-vector spaces of  $V$  stable under the action of  $G$  and differential submodules of  $\mathcal{M}$ . More precisely, to a sub-vector space  $W$  of  $V$  stable under the action of  $G$  corresponds the differential submodule  $(K \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W)^G$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ . This correspondence is compatible with all constructions of linear algebra (see Definition 5.2 below) so that there is a one to one correspondence between sub-vector spaces of  $V \otimes V^*$  stable under the action of  $G$  and differential submodules of  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*$ . With the previous notation, this yields:

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *The representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in  $\mathrm{End}(V)$  corresponds to the differential submodule  $\mathfrak{g}^s \triangleq (K \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g})^G$  of  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*$ .*

We shall denote by  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  the “*source*” Lie algebra  $(K \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g})^G$  included in  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  corresponds to the Lie algebra considered by Katz in [20, Conj. 9.2]. For expository reasons, we assume in this paper that  $\mathcal{M}$  is an *absolutely irreducible* differential module (which can be effectively tested, see [12]). The case of a completely reducible module  $\mathcal{M}$  is quite similar and will appear in a forthcoming work.

**REMARK 2.1.** *The assumption that  $\mathcal{M}$  is absolutely irreducible is equivalent to saying that  $\mathfrak{g}$  acts irreducibly on  $V$ . If we further assume, w.l.o.g., that  $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ , then the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semi-simple (see [19, Prop. 19.1]).*

Thanks to Proposition 2.1, the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  can be investigated by studying differential submodules of  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*$ . As  $\mathcal{M}$  is an irreducible differential module then, as we have seen, the latter submodules can be found by computing a maximal decomposition of  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*$ .

### 3. DECOMPOSITION OF $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*$

In the sequel,  $\mathcal{M}$  is an absolutely irreducible differential module of dimension  $n$  over  $k$ . We fix a basis of  $\mathcal{M}$  and we denote by  $[A]$  the associated linear differential system.

#### 3.1 The general decomposition method

The problem of computing a decomposition of a differential module  $\mathcal{M}$  has been studied in the literature of computer algebra: see [22, 4], [24, §4.2] and references therein.

Decomposing  $\mathcal{M}$  (over  $k$ ) is equivalent to finding a gauge transformation  $P \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$  such that  $P[A]$  is a block diagonal matrix and such a gauge transformation can be found by calculating the so-called *eigenring*  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  of  $[A]$  defined by  $\mathcal{E}(A) \triangleq \{F \in \mathbb{M}_n(k) \mid F' = [A, F] = A F - F A\}$ . In practice, computing  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  reduces to computing the rational solutions of the matrix differential system (1) or equivalently of the linear differential system (2). The MAPLE package `INTEGRABLECONNECTIONS` ([5]) based on `ISOLDE` ([7]) provides a procedure for computing the eigenring using the algorithm in [3] for computing rational solutions.

If  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{M}_r$  is a decomposition of  $\mathcal{M}$  into submodules  $\mathcal{M}_i$  of dimension  $n_i$ , then  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  contains an element similar to  $\mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1 I_{n_1}, \dots, \lambda_r I_{n_r})$ , where  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$ . More generally, if  $F \in \mathcal{E}(A)$  satisfies that there exists  $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$  such that  $T^{-1} F T = \mathrm{diag}(F_1, \dots, F_r)$ , for constant<sup>1</sup> matrices  $F_1, \dots, F_r$  having distinct eigenvalues in  $\mathbb{C}$  (e.g., Jordan blocks of  $F$ ), then  $T[A] = \mathrm{diag}(A_1, \dots, A_r)$  for some matrices  $A_i \in \mathbb{M}_{n_i}(k)$ . Bases of the submodules  $\mathcal{M}_i$  in the corresponding decomposition  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{M}_r$  are given by the columns of the matrix  $T$ , namely, a basis of  $\mathcal{M}_i$  is given by the columns  $T_{\bullet j}$  of  $T$  for  $j = (n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1} + 1), \dots, (n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1} + n_i)$ . Note finally that a *maximal* decomposition is in general obtained by taking a random element in the eigenring. We refer to [4] for more details.

#### 3.2 Specific methods

In our case, we shall be interested in computing a maximal decomposition of the differential module  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*$  associated to the linear differential system  $\mathbf{y}' = (A \otimes I_n - I_n \otimes A^T) \mathbf{y}$ . If we denote  $\mathcal{A} \triangleq A \otimes I_n - I_n \otimes A^T$ , then such a decomposition can be obtained by computing the eigenring  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$  of  $[A]$ .

Naturally, one could directly apply the method developed in the previous subsection to compute  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$ . However, starting from a differential module of dimension  $n$ , this method would then need to compute the rational solutions of a linear differential system of size  $n^4$  so that the dependency on  $n$  in the arithmetic complexity estimate for computing rational solutions of such a linear differential system would then be in  $n^{20}$  (see [6, Cor. 1]). Consequently, to obtain a more practicable algorithm, we should take into account the specific form of the differential module  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*$ /the particular structure of the linear differential system  $[A \otimes I_n - I_n \otimes A^T]$ .

##### 3.2.1 Adapting the general method

The algorithm in [3] for computing rational solutions of a linear differential system is divided into two steps: the first one consists in computing local datas (considering one by one each singularity of the system) in order to construct a universal denominator for the rational solutions and the second one consists in computing polynomial solutions of an auxiliary linear differential system. Here, the linear dif-

<sup>1</sup>the eigenvalues of any element of  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  are constants in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

ferential system that we consider has a specific structure, namely, its matrix is given by  $\overline{\mathcal{A}} \triangleq \mathcal{A} \otimes I_{n^2} - I_{n^2} \otimes \mathcal{A}^T$  with the previous notation  $\mathcal{A} = A \otimes I_n - I_n \otimes A^T$ . Consequently, the specific techniques developed in [8] for computing rational solutions of  $[\mathcal{A}]$ , can be used again here. This implies that all the local datas needed for computing rational solutions of  $[\overline{\mathcal{A}}]$  can be deduced from the local datas needed for computing rational solutions of  $[A]$ . For instance, the local exponential parts of  $[\mathcal{A}]$  (resp. of  $[\overline{\mathcal{A}}]$ ) are the differences (resp. the differences of the differences) between the local exponential parts of  $[A]$ . The first step of the algorithm can thus be performed by considering only the matrix  $A$  of size  $n$  instead of  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  of size  $n^4$  which leads to a real gain. Note that the ideas in [8] to accelerate the second step of the algorithm can also be used again here.

### 3.2.2 Using structural decompositions

With the previous notation, we are interested in computing the rational solutions of the linear differential system  $[\overline{\mathcal{A}}]$  which is associated with the differential module

$$\text{End}(\text{End}(\mathcal{M})) \triangleq (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*) \otimes (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*)^*.$$

We shall now prove that the problem can be reduced to computing the rational solutions of linear differential systems of size smaller than  $n^4$ . To do that, we shall first use the isomorphism  $(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*)^* \cong (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*)$  which leads to

$$\text{End}(\text{End}(\mathcal{M})) \cong (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*) \otimes (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*). \quad (3)$$

Let us provide explicit matrix formulas for (3):

LEMMA 3.1. *The matrix  $\mathcal{A} = A \otimes I_n - I_n \otimes A^T$  satisfies*

$$-\mathcal{A}^T = \mathcal{J} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{J}, \quad \mathcal{J} \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n E_{i,j}(n) \otimes E_{i,j}(n)^T,$$

where  $E_{i,j}(n)$  denotes the elementary  $n \times n$  matrix having 1 at position  $(i, j)$  and 0 elsewhere. In particular, the matrix  $\mathcal{J}$  is orthogonal and further satisfies  $\mathcal{J}^T = \mathcal{J}^{-1} = \mathcal{J}$ .

PROOF. A result about the Kronecker product asserts that given two square matrices  $M$  and  $N$  of size  $n$ , we have  $N \otimes M = \mathcal{J}(M \otimes N)\mathcal{J}$ , where  $\mathcal{J}$  is the matrix defined in Lemma 3.1 (see [16, §2.5]). Therefore, we get  $-\mathcal{A}^T = I_n \otimes A - A^T \otimes I_n = \mathcal{J}(A \otimes I_n - I_n \otimes A^T)\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{J}$ .  $\square$

Lemma 3.1 implies  $\mathcal{J}[\mathcal{A}] = -\mathcal{A}^T$  so that the isomorphism  $(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*) \cong (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*)^*$  is explicitly given by:

$$\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^* \rightarrow (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*)^*, \quad U \mapsto \text{Mat}(\mathcal{J} \text{Vect}(U)).$$

With the previous notation, a rational solution of the linear differential system associated with the differential module  $(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*) \otimes (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*)$  is then sent to a rational solution of  $[\overline{\mathcal{A}}]$  by multiplication by  $I_{n^2} \otimes \mathcal{J}$ .

For any differential module  $\mathcal{N}$  of dimension  $n$ , we have the classical explicit isomorphism  $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{N} \cong \text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{N}) \oplus \Lambda^2(\mathcal{N})$ , where  $\text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{N})$  (resp.  $\Lambda^2(\mathcal{N})$ ) denotes the symmetric (resp. exterior) square of the differential module  $\mathcal{N}$  which is of dimension  $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  (resp.  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ ). From (3), we thus have:

$$\text{End}(\text{End}(\mathcal{M})) \cong \text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*) \oplus \Lambda^2(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*). \quad (4)$$

Now, due to its specific structure the differential module  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*$  can always be decomposed which allows us to go further in the decomposition of the right-hand side of (4).

LEMMA 3.2. *With the previous notation, the matrix defined by  $\mathcal{T} \triangleq \text{Vect}(I_n)^T \otimes \text{Vect}(I_n) \in \mathbb{M}_{n^2}(\mathbb{C})$  belongs to the eigenring  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$  and provides the decomposition*

$$\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^* = \mathbb{1}_k \oplus \mathcal{W}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\mathcal{W}$  is a submodule of  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*$  of dimension  $n^2 - 1$ .

PROOF. The fact that  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$  is straightforward since  $\text{Vect}(I_n)$  is a trivial rational solution of both  $\mathbf{y}' = \mathcal{A}\mathbf{y}$  and  $\mathbf{y}' = -\mathcal{A}^T\mathbf{y}$ . The result then follows from the explanations in Subsection 3.1 because  $\mathcal{T}$  is the block matrix  $(E_{i,j}(n))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  which admits two distinct eigenvalues, namely  $n$  of multiplicity 1 and 0 of multiplicity  $n^2 - 1$ .  $\square$

THEOREM 3.1. *With the previous notation, we have:*

$$\text{End}(\text{End}(\mathcal{M})) \cong \mathbb{1}_k \oplus \mathcal{W} \oplus \text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{W}) \oplus \mathcal{W} \oplus \Lambda^2(\mathcal{W}). \quad (6)$$

PROOF. From Lemma 3.2 and the isomorphism (4), we obtain  $\text{End}(\text{End}(\mathcal{M})) \cong \text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{1}_k \oplus \mathcal{W}) \oplus \Lambda^2(\mathbb{1}_k \oplus \mathcal{W})$ . If we denote  $e$  the basis element of  $\mathbb{1}_k$  and  $e_i, i = 1, \dots, n^2 - 1$  a basis of  $\mathcal{W}$ , then a basis of  $\text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{1}_k \oplus \mathcal{W})$  is given by  $e.e, e.e_i, i = 1, \dots, n^2 - 1$  and  $e_i.e_j$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, n^2 - 1$  and  $i \leq j$ . This basis yields the isomorphism  $\text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{1}_k \oplus \mathcal{W}) \cong \mathbb{1}_k \oplus \mathcal{W} \oplus \text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{W})$ . Moreover, a basis of  $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{1}_k \oplus \mathcal{W})$  is given by  $e \wedge e_i, i = 1, \dots, n^2 - 1$  and  $e_i \wedge e_j$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, n^2 - 1$  and  $i < j$  so that  $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{1}_k \oplus \mathcal{W}) \cong \mathcal{W} \oplus \Lambda^2(\mathcal{W})$ . The isomorphisms of  $k$ -vector spaces explicitly given above are isomorphisms of differential modules which ends the proof.  $\square$

Using the previous notation, let us explain how to use (6) for computing effectively the rational solutions of  $[\overline{\mathcal{A}}]$ :

1. Let  $\mathbf{u} \in k^m$  with  $m = \frac{(n^2-1)n^2}{2}$  be a rational solution of  $\mathbf{u}' = \text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{W})\mathbf{u}$  where  $[\mathcal{W}]$  denotes the linear differential system associated with  $\mathcal{W}$ ;
2. Construct the associated matrix  $U$  in  $\text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{1}_k \oplus \mathcal{W})$  (see the proof of Theorem 3.1) which can also be viewed as an element of  $(\mathbb{1}_k \oplus \mathcal{W}) \otimes (\mathbb{1}_k \oplus \mathcal{W})$ ;
3. Computing  $(P \otimes P)\text{Vect}(U)$ , where  $P$  denotes the gauge transformation yielding (5), we then obtain an element of  $(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*) \otimes (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*)$ ;
4. Finally, using the isomorphism (3), we then get the rational solution  $(P \otimes \mathcal{J}P)\text{Vect}(U)$  of  $[\overline{\mathcal{A}}]$ .

Note that the matrix  $P$  appearing in the above process is formed by eigenvectors of the diagonalisable matrix  $\mathcal{T}$  of Lemma 3.2 and can be given explicitly.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *With the previous notation, the eigenring  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$  can be computed from the rational solutions of two linear differential systems of size  $\frac{(n^2-1)n^2}{2}$  and  $\frac{(n^2-1)(n^2-2)}{2}$ .*

PROOF. This is straightforward from Theorem 3.1 and the assumption that  $\mathcal{M}$  is irreducible since a rational solution of  $[\mathcal{W}]$  would lead to a decomposition of  $\mathcal{M}$ .  $\square$

Note that, in practice, this has a real gain since already for  $n = 3$ , we have  $\frac{(n^2-1)n^2}{2} = 36$  and  $\frac{(n^2-1)(n^2-2)}{2} = 28$  compared to  $n^4 = 81$ . Moreover, one can speed up the computation of rational solutions since the systems under considerations are symmetric (resp. exterior) squares so that the techniques in [1, §5] can be applied to obtain the local

datas by considering smaller systems.

We now provide another isomorphism as (6). We have  $\text{End}(\text{End}(\mathcal{M})) \cong (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}) \otimes (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M})^*$  which can be written as  $\text{End}(\text{End}(\mathcal{M})) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M})$  so that we obtain  $\text{End}(\text{End}(\mathcal{M})) \cong \text{End}(S^2 \oplus \Lambda^2)$ , where, for the purposes of notation, we denote  $S^2 \triangleq \text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{M})$ ,  $\Lambda^2 \triangleq \Lambda^2(\mathcal{M})$ . We then get:  $\text{End}(\text{End}(\mathcal{M})) \cong \text{End}(S^2) \oplus \text{End}(\Lambda^2) \oplus \text{Hom}(S^2, \Lambda^2) \oplus \text{Hom}(\Lambda^2, S^2)$ . Finally, using the decompositions  $\text{End}(S^2) = \mathbb{1}_k \oplus \mathcal{N}_{S^2}$  and  $\text{End}(\Lambda^2) = \mathbb{1}_k \oplus \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda^2}$  for some differential modules  $\mathcal{N}_{S^2}$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda^2}$  of respective dimensions  $\frac{n^2(n+1)^2}{4} - 1$  and  $\frac{n^2(n-1)^2}{4} - 1$ , we have proved:

**THEOREM 3.2.** *With the previous notation, we have:*

$$\text{End}(\text{End}(\mathcal{M})) \cong \mathbb{1}_k \oplus \mathcal{N}_{S^2} \oplus \mathbb{1}_k \oplus \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda^2} \oplus \text{Hom}(S^2, \Lambda^2) \oplus \text{Hom}(\Lambda^2, S^2). \quad (7)$$

The decomposition (7) can be used exactly as (6) for our purposes and we get:

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *With the previous notation, the eigenring  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$  can be computed from the rational solutions of four linear differential systems of size  $\frac{n^2(n+1)^2}{4} - 1$ ,  $\frac{n^2(n-1)^2}{4} - 1$ ,  $\frac{n^2(n^2-1)}{4}$ , and  $\frac{n^2(n^2-1)}{4}$ .*

Note that for  $n = 3$ , we would then have to compute the rational solutions of four linear differential systems of respective size 35, 8, 18 and 18. The systems corresponding to (7) have specific structures so that existing techniques (e.g., adapting the ones developed in [8, 1]) can be used here to speed up the computation.

## 4. CANDIDATE FOR THE LIE ALGEBRA

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an absolutely irreducible differential module. From Proposition 2.1, the representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  in  $\text{End}(V)$  corresponds to the submodule  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  of  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*$ . Section 3 provides a maximal decomposition of the completely reducible module  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*$  which yields all its submodules. We shall now develop a method for identifying  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  as a submodule of  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*$ .

The approach that we propose relies on the reduction modulo a prime number  $p$  of the linear differential system  $[A]$  or equivalently of the differential module  $\mathcal{M}$ . Here, the constant field  $\mathbb{C}$  of  $k$  is replaced by a computable subfield of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . For almost all primes  $p$ , the coefficients of the matrix  $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(k)$  can be reduced modulo  $p$  and we obtain a matrix  $A_p \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{F}_p(x))$  corresponding to a linear differential system  $[A_p]$  over the differential field  $\mathbb{F}_p(x) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} \mathbb{F}_p(x^p) x^i$ . As in characteristic zero, a differential module  $\mathcal{M}_p$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p(x)$  endowed with an action  $\partial$  is associated with  $[A_p]$ . We refer to [24, §13] and references therein or [20] for details on differential modules and differential systems in characteristic  $p$ . A central object for the study of differential modules/systems in characteristic  $p$  is the so-called *p-curvature* defined as the operator  $\chi_p \triangleq \partial^p$  acting on  $\mathcal{M}_p$  or equivalently  $\chi_p \triangleq \left(\frac{d}{dx} - A_p\right)^p$ . In terms of matrices, the *p-curvature*  $\chi_p$  corresponds to the  $p$ -th iterate of the sequence of matrices  $(\chi_i)_{i \geq 1}$  defined by  $\chi_1 = A_p$  and, for  $i > 1$ ,  $\chi_{i+1} = \frac{d}{dx} \chi_i - A_p \chi_i$ , so that it can be effectively computed (see [20, 10] or [24, §13]). For a fast algorithm and complexity analyses, we refer to the recent work [9].

The following *Grothendieck-Katz p-curvature conjecture* ([20, Conj. 9.2 & 10.1]) links the reductions modulo  $p$  of the

Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  and the  $p$ -curvature of the reduction modulo  $p$  of the differential system/module.

**CONJECTURE 4.1.** *The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  is the smallest (algebraic) Lie sub-algebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$  whose reduction modulo  $p$  contains the  $p$ -curvature for almost all  $p$ .*

One inclusion of the conjecture, namely, the fact that the reduction modulo  $p$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  contains the  $p$ -curvature for almost all  $p$ , has been proved (see [20, Prop. 9.3]). We refer to [20] for more details.

Let  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^* = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{W}_i$  be a maximal decomposition given by a gauge transformation  $T \in \text{GL}_{n^2}(k)$  (see Subsection 3.1) so that the  $\text{Mat}(T_{\bullet j})$ 's provide bases of the submodules  $\mathcal{W}_i$ . We can then obtain a guess for the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  by using the following MODULARSELECTION procedure:

1. Choose a prime number  $p$  such that  $A$  can be reduced modulo  $p$  and  $\det(T)$  does not vanish modulo  $p$ . Reducing the maximal decomposition  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{W}_i$  modulo  $p$  we get a decomposition  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{W}_{i,p}$  which is given by the reduction  $T_p$  of  $T$  modulo  $p$ ;
2. Compute the  $p$ -curvature  $\chi_p$  of  $[A_p]$ ;
3. Compute  $T_p^{-1} \text{Vect}(\chi_p)$  and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set containing the indices of its non-zero entries which correspond to the columns of  $T_p$  involved in the writing of  $\text{Vect}(\chi_p)$ ;
4. Return the  $\text{Mat}(T_{\bullet j})$ 's for  $j \in \mathcal{S}$  which is then a basis of the submodule of the maximal decomposition  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{W}_i$  whose reduction modulo  $p$  contains  $\chi_p$ .

This method yields a submodule of  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*$  which according to the above Grothendieck-Katz conjecture 4.1 can be used as a guess for the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^s$ . However, MODULARSELECTION may select either a bigger or a smaller submodule of  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*$  than  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  (see explanations in Section 6). The next section will use the notion of *reduced form* to check whether or not our guess is correct. Note that in practice, we can (and will) perform the above modular guessing for two or three prime numbers in order to refine our guess.

## 5. VALIDATION OF THE CANDIDATE

### 5.1 Reduced Form and conjugation problem

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a differential module and  $[A]$  with  $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(k)$  an associated linear differential system. We denote by  $V$  the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of solutions of  $\mathcal{M}$  in a Picard-Vessiot extension  $K$  of  $k$ ,  $G$  the differential Galois group of  $\mathcal{M}$ , and  $\mathfrak{g}$  the Lie algebra of  $G$ . In Subsection 2.2, we have seen that  $\mathfrak{g}$  can be viewed as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space generated by matrices  $N_1, \dots, N_d$  in  $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

One can associate another Lie algebra to  $[A]$  by considering a *Wei-Norman decomposition* of the matrix  $A$ , namely,  $A = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i A_i$  where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  is a basis of the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space generated by the entries of  $A$ , and  $A_i \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ . We then define  $\text{Lie}(A)$  as the algebraic envelope of the Lie algebra generated by the matrices  $A_i$ . The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is always contained in  $\text{Lie}(A)$  hence  $\mathfrak{g}$  is also contained in  $\text{Lie}(P[A])$  for any matrix  $P \in \text{GL}_n(\overline{k})$ . The *reduced form* corresponds to the case where we have  $\text{Lie}(A) = \mathfrak{g}$ .

**DEFINITION 5.1.** *Let  $[A]$  with  $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(k)$  be a linear differential system over  $k$ . Then, with the previous notation,  $[A]$  is said to be in reduced form if  $A \in \overline{k} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ .*

With the previous notation, the linear differential system  $[A]$  is thus in reduced form iff there exist  $f_1, \dots, f_d$  in  $\bar{k}$  such that  $A = f_1 N_1 + \dots + f_d N_d$ . The following result due to Kolchin and Kovacic proves the existence of a reduced form: see [24, Prop. 1.31 & Cor. 1.32] and [2, Prop. 3 & Cor. 4].

**THEOREM 5.1.** *Let  $[A]$  with  $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(k)$  be a linear differential system. There exists a matrix  $P \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\bar{k})$  such that  $[P[A]]$  is in reduced form.*

A matrix  $P$  as in Theorem 5.1 is called a *reduction matrix* for  $[A]$ . We shall now recall a useful result of [2] concerning invariants and reduced forms.

**DEFINITION 5.2.** *With the previous notation, a (tensor) construction  $\mathrm{Const}(V)$  on the  $G$ -module  $V$  is a vector space obtained from  $V$  by finite iterations of tensor products  $\otimes$ , direct sums  $\oplus$ , taking the dual  $\star$ , symmetric powers  $\mathrm{Sym}^m$ , and exterior powers  $\Lambda^r$ . To a constructor  $\mathrm{Const}$  corresponds naturally a “Lie algebra” constructor  $\mathbf{Const}$ . An invariant of  $[A]$  is a rational solution of a linear differential system  $[\mathbf{Const}(A)]$ .*

Let  $P \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k_0)$  with  $k \subseteq k_0 \subseteq \bar{k}$ . A change of variables  $\mathbf{y} = P\mathbf{z}$  in  $[A]$  induces an action on the elements of constructions. If  $\mathbf{f}$  is an invariant of  $\mathcal{M}$  given as a rational solution of  $[\mathbf{Const}(A)]$ , then we say that  $P$  sends  $\mathbf{f}$  to  $\mathfrak{g}$  if  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Const}(P)\mathbf{f}$  ( $\mathfrak{g}$  is then a rational solution of  $[\mathbf{Const}(P[A])]$ ). It is proved in [2] that when a system is in reduced form, all its invariants have *constant* coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}$  and we further have:

**LEMMA 5.1** ([2]). *Let  $[A]$  with  $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(k)$  be a linear differential system. For all ordinary point  $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  of  $[A]$ , there exists a reduction matrix  $P \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\bar{k})$  for  $[A]$  that sends every invariant  $\mathbf{f}$  of  $[A]$  to its evaluation at  $x_0$ , namely  $\mathrm{Const}(P)\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}(x_0)$ .*

In Section 4, we have found a candidate for the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  as a submodule of  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*$ . Let  $F \in \mathbb{M}_{n^2}(k)$  denote the element of  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$  from which we have obtained this maximal decomposition and let  $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{n^2}(k)$  denote the gauge transformation which provides the maximal decomposition, namely,  $T^{-1}FT = J$  is the Jordan normal form of  $F$ . Note that  $J \in \mathbb{M}_{n^2}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $T$  is formed by generalized eigenvectors of  $F$  so that it can be chosen as a polynomial matrix.

Following the terminology in [17], we introduce the notion of *conjugated Lie algebras*.

**DEFINITION 5.3.** *Two Lie sub-algebras  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_2$  of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$  are said to be conjugated (over  $\bar{k}$ ) if there exists  $P \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\bar{k})$  such that  $\mathfrak{g}_2 = P^{-1}\mathfrak{g}_1P$ . Such a matrix  $P$  is then a conjugation matrix between  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_2$ .*

**THEOREM 5.2.** *With the previous notation and w.l.o.g., let  $M_i \triangleq \mathrm{Mat}(T_{\bullet,i})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , be a basis of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  and let  $x_0$  be an ordinary point of  $[A]$  such that  $\det(T(x_0)) \neq 0$ . If  $\mathfrak{g}^t$  denotes the Lie sub-algebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$  with basis  $M_1(x_0), \dots, M_d(x_0)$ , then there exists a reduction matrix  $P \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\bar{k})$  for  $[A]$  that is a conjugation matrix between the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^t$ .*

**PROOF.** The matrix  $F \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$  is an invariant of  $[A]$  so that Lemma 5.1 implies that there exists a reduction matrix  $P$  that sends  $F$  to its evaluation  $F(x_0)$ . Now we have  $T(x_0)^{-1}F(x_0)T(x_0) = J$  so that if we perform the change of variables defined by  $P$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , a new basis of  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  will be given

by the  $M_i(x_0) \triangleq \mathrm{Mat}(T(x_0)_{\bullet,i})$ . On the other hand,  $M_i$  belongs to the construction  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*$  so that after a change of variables given by  $P$ ,  $M_i$  is transformed to  $P^{-1}M_iP$ . Consequently,  $P$  is a conjugation matrix between  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^t$ .  $\square$

From Theorem 5.2, a reduction matrix can be found among the conjugation matrices between the “target” Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^t$  with basis  $M_i(x_0)$  and the “source” Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  with basis  $M_i$ .

## 5.2 Step 1: computing conjugation matrices

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an absolutely irreducible module and recall that  $\mathfrak{g}^t$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  are then semi-simple Lie algebras (see Remark 2.1).

**DEFINITION 5.4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semi-simple Lie algebra of dimension  $d$  and rank  $r$ . Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\Phi$  a root system associated with  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$  a simple system of  $\Phi$ . Then a set of canonical generators of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a set of  $3r$  non-zero matrices  $H_1, \dots, H_r, X_1, \dots, X_r, Y_1, \dots, Y_r$  such that, for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ ,  $H_i \in \mathfrak{h}$ ,  $X_i \in L_{\alpha_i}$ , the root space associated with  $\alpha_i$  and  $Y_i \in L_{-\alpha_i}$  and which satisfies the relations*

$$\begin{cases} [H_i, H_j] = 0, & [X_i, Y_j] = \delta_{i,j} H_i, \\ [H_i, X_j] = c_{j,i} X_j, & [H_i, Y_j] = -c_{j,i} Y_j, \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ , where  $\delta_{i,j} = 1$  if  $i = j$  and 0 otherwise. The matrix  $C = (c_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}$  is called a Cartan matrix of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and satisfies  $c_{i,i} = 2$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ .

The sets of canonical generators (and their completion into Chevalley bases) are central objects in the study of semi-simple Lie algebras. We refer to [19] and [13] for more details. Moreover algorithms for computing sets of canonical generators and Chevalley bases are given in [13].

Conjugation matrices between  $\mathfrak{g}^t$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  can be computed using the following CONJUGATIONMATRICES procedure<sup>2</sup>:

1. Compute a set of canonical generators  $\{H_i^t, X_i^t, Y_i^t\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^t$ ;
2. Compute generators  $\tilde{H}_i^s$  of a split Cartan sub-algebra  $\mathfrak{h}^s$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  such that we have  $\chi(\tilde{H}_i^s) = \chi(H_i^t)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , where  $\chi(M)$  denotes the characteristic polynomial of a matrix  $M$ . This can be done by taking an ansatz  $\tilde{H}_i^s = \sum_{j=1}^d a_{i,j} M_j$  in  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  and solving the algebraic equations in the  $a_{i,j}$ ’s provided by the relation  $\chi(\tilde{H}_i^s) = \chi(H_i^t)$ ;
3. From  $\mathfrak{h}^s$  generated by the  $\tilde{H}_i^s$ , compute a set of canonical generators  $\{H_i^s, X_i^s, Y_i^s\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  having the same Cartan matrix as  $\{H_i^t, X_i^t, Y_i^t\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ ;
4. Compute the matrices  $P \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\bar{k})$  such that for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ ,  $P X_i^t = X_i^s P$  and  $P Y_i^t = Y_i^s P$ . This amounts to solving an overdetermined linear system of  $2rn^2$  equations for the  $n^2$  unknown entries of  $P$  in  $\bar{k}$ .

**PROPOSITION 5.1.** *CONJUGATIONMATRICES computes the conjugation matrices between the semi-simple Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}^t$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^s$ . If  $\mathfrak{g}^t$  is a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ , i.e., if we have made the correct guess for  $\mathfrak{g}^s$ , then all the conjugation matrices found are of the form  $P = c\tilde{P}$ , with  $\tilde{P} \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\bar{k})$  and  $c$  an arbitrary element of  $\bar{k}$ .*

<sup>2</sup>This is probably known but we have not found a reference.

PROOF. The correctness of CONJUGATIONMATRICES follows essentially from material in the book of W. de Graaf [13], in particular, Cor. 5.11.5 (see also [19]). The split Cartan sub-algebra  $\mathfrak{h}^s$  in Step 2 exists because we know that  $\mathfrak{g}^t$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  are conjugated (see Theorem 5.2). In Step 2, the matrices  $H_i^t$  (resp.  $\tilde{H}_i^s$ ) are simultaneously diagonalizable ([19, Cor. 15.3, p.80]) so that there exists  $P \in \text{GL}_n(\bar{k})$  such that  $P H_i^t = \tilde{H}_i^s P$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . The feasibility of Step 3 is ensured by the fact that we know from Theorem 5.2 that the Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}^t$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  are conjugated and a conjugation matrix sends a set of canonical generators of  $\mathfrak{g}^t$  to a set of canonical generators of  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  having the same Cartan matrix. In Step 4, the conjugation of the  $H_i^t$  and  $H_i^s$  is automatic because of the second relation of (8). Finally, if  $P$  and  $\tilde{P}$  are two conjugation matrices as in Step 4, then  $P \tilde{P}^{-1}$  commutes with all matrices in  $\mathfrak{g}^t$ . As  $\mathfrak{g}$  acts irreducibly on  $V$  (see Remark 2.1), the only such matrices are scalar multiples of the identity (Schur's lemma) which proves the last assertion of the proposition, i.e.,  $P = c \tilde{P}$ .  $\square$

In Algorithm 6.1 below, we shall say that ‘‘CONJUGATIONMATRICES fails’’ if the set of the matrices  $P$  computed in Step 4 is not of the form  $P = c \tilde{P}$  given in Proposition 5.1. This implies that our guess for  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  was not correct.

### 5.3 Step 2: computing a reduction matrix

In the previous subsection we have found the conjugation matrices between the Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}^t$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^s$ . If our guess for the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  was correct, then we know that among these conjugation matrices there exists a reduction matrix for  $[A]$ . The last step then consists in finding this reduction matrix which if it succeeds will finally validate our choice for the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^s$ . Let  $P = c \tilde{P}$  be as in Proposition 5.1 and let  $(N_i^t)_{i=1, \dots, d}$  be a Chevalley basis of  $\mathfrak{g}^t$ . See [13] for an algorithm to complete the set of canonical generators of  $\mathfrak{g}^t$  already computed into a Chevalley basis. If  $P$  is a reduction matrix, then there exist  $c \in \bar{k}$  and  $f_i \in \bar{k}$  such that  $P[A] = \sum_{i=1}^d f_i N_i^t$ . The latter relation then yields

$$\tilde{P}^{-1} A \tilde{P} - \frac{c'}{c} I_n - \tilde{P}^{-1} \tilde{P}' = \sum_{i=1}^d f_i N_i^t. \quad (9)$$

Taking the trace  $\text{Tr}(\cdot)$  of the matrices in (9) we obtain

$$\text{Tr}(A) - n \frac{c'}{c} - \frac{\det(\tilde{P}')}{\det(\tilde{P})} = \sum_{i=1}^d f_i \text{Tr}(N_i^t),$$

so that we get

$$\frac{c'}{c} = \frac{1}{n} \left( \text{Tr}(A) - \frac{\det(\tilde{P}')}{\det(\tilde{P})} - \sum_{i=1}^d f_i \text{Tr}(N_i^t) \right). \quad (10)$$

A reduction matrix can then be found using the following REDUCTIONMATRIX procedure:

1. Plug the formula (10) for  $c'/c$  into (9) (and multiply on the left by  $\tilde{P}$ ) and solve the (overdetermined) linear system obtained which is formed by  $n^2$  equations for the  $d \leq n^2$  unknowns  $f_1, \dots, f_d$  in  $\bar{k}$ . If the system has no solution, then Return ‘‘Fail’’;
2. Solve the scalar order one linear differential equation for  $c$  obtained by plugging the solution found in 1 into (10). If the solution is algebraic, then Return  $P = c \tilde{P}$ , Else Return ‘‘Fail’’.

## 6. ALGORITHM AND IMPLEMENTATION

### 6.1 Full algorithm

Let  $[A]$ , with  $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(k)$  be an absolutely irreducible linear differential system,  $G$  its differential Galois group and  $\mathfrak{g}$  the Lie algebra of  $G$ . With the previous notation, our full algorithm for computing the representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$  can be sketched as follows<sup>3</sup>:

ALGORITHM 6.1.

1. Compute a maximal decomposition of  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*$ ;
2. Apply MODULARSELECTION to get a guess for  $\mathfrak{g}^s$ ;
3. Apply CONJUGATIONMATRICES. If it fails, go back to Step 2 and choose another prime  $p$  (see Remark 6.1);
4. Complete the set of canonical generators of  $\mathfrak{g}^t$  into a Chevalley basis  $(N_i^t)_{i=1, \dots, d}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^t$ ;
5. Apply REDUCTIONMATRIX. If it fails, go back to Step 2 and choose another prime  $p$  (see Remark 6.1), Else Return  $(N_i^t)_{i=1, \dots, d}$  (see Remark 6.2).

In Step 2, Algorithm 6.1 applies calculations modulo a prime number  $p$  to make a guess for the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  based on the Grothendieck-Katz conjecture 4.1. If this guess is not correct, then Algorithm 6.1 can fail either in Step 3 or 5. In this case we go back to Step 2 and choose another prime  $p$  to make another guess. The reason why Algorithm 6.1 is *probabilistic* is that there may exist an infinite number of (bad) primes  $p$  which lead to a wrong guess for  $\mathfrak{g}^s$ . For instance, there are examples where the  $p$ -curvature is zero for an infinite number of primes  $p$  whereas the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  is not zero. If this happens, then Grothendieck conjecture [20, Conj. 10.1] implies also the existence of infinitely many primes  $p$  for which the  $p$ -curvature is not zero.

We have to make two remarks concerning Algorithm 6.1.

REMARK 6.1. *If during our process we find two candidates  $\mathcal{W}_1$  and  $\mathcal{W}_2$  for  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  which are not correct, then due to the Grothendieck-Katz conjecture 4.1 we must apply Steps 3-5 to the submodule  $\mathcal{W}_1 + \mathcal{W}_2$  before choosing another prime. Another strategy consists in choosing directly two or three prime numbers and comparing the submodules selected for each prime number before making the guess for  $\mathfrak{g}^s$ .*

REMARK 6.2. *It might happen that the output of Algorithm 6.1 is bigger than the actual Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . This implies that the selected submodule  $\mathcal{W}$  chosen in Step 2 as a guess for  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  is decomposable. Therefore as soon as the candidate found in Step 2 is decomposable, we have to check each submodule of  $\mathcal{W}$  (there are only finitely many choices as we work modulo isomorphisms).*

Note that each step of Algorithm 6.1 can be performed in an arithmetic complexity which is polynomial in  $n$  except (maybe) in Step 2 of the procedure CONJUGATIONMATRICES where we need to solve algebraic systems. This makes a significant difference compared to the exponential (several levels) complexity obtained in [15] for the computation of the differential Galois group  $G$ .

<sup>3</sup>Note that we get a reduced form of  $[A]$  as a byproduct.

## 6.2 Implementation and example

We have a prototype implementation of Algorithm 6.1 in MAPLE. We use the package INTEGRABLECONNECTIONS ([5]) based on ISOLDE ([7]) for computing the maximal decomposition of  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*$  and the package LIEALGEBRAS for computing a set of canonical generators (and a Chevalley basis) of  $\mathfrak{g}^t$ . The other steps are based on linear algebra calculations, solving linear and algebraic systems, and the final integration of the scalar order one linear differential equation (10). We have applied our implementation to many examples up to  $n = 7$ . It turns out that in practice the most costly step is the decomposition of  $\text{End}(\mathcal{M})$ . For lack of space we only give a small example here.

EXAMPLE 6.1. *We consider the absolutely irreducible differential module  $\mathcal{M}$  associated via a choice of basis with  $[A]$  given by:*

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x-1}{x} & x & -1 \\ -x^3+1 & 0 & -1 \\ \frac{x-1}{x} + x^2 & x+1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

*The Lie algebra  $\text{Lie}(A)$  of the matrix  $A$  is of dimension 9. Computing a maximal decomposition of  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*$ , we find that  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^* = \mathbb{1}_k \oplus \mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_2$  where  $\mathcal{W}_1$  (resp.  $\mathcal{W}_2$ ) is of dimension 3 (resp. 5). Computing the  $p$ -curvature of  $[A]$  for a random prime  $p$ , we find that a candidate for the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^s$  is the irreducible differential submodule  $\mathcal{W}_1$  of  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*$  which admits the basis  $M_1, M_2, M_3$  given by:*

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -x^2-1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -x^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -x^2-1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

*The point  $x_0 = 1$  is an ordinary point for  $[A]$  and a set of canonical generators  $H^t, X^t, Y^t$  for the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^t$  generated by  $M_1(x_0), M_2(x_0), M_3(x_0)$  is given by:*

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2i & 0 & -2i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4i & 0 & -2i \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ 1+i & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1-i & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ -1+i & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1-i & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Computing an “aligned” set of canonical generators  $H^s, X^s, Y^s$  for  $\mathfrak{g}^s$ , we find:*

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{-2i}{x} & 0 & \frac{2i}{x} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{-2i(x^2+1)}{x} & 0 & \frac{2i}{x} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{i}{x} & 0 \\ -ix+1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & \frac{i+x}{x} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{i}{x} & 0 \\ -ix-1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{i-x}{x} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

*The conjugation matrices  $P \in \text{GL}_n(k)$  such that we have simultaneously  $X^t P = P X^s$  and  $Y^t P = P Y^s$  are then given by the matrices  $P = c \tilde{P}$ , where  $c \in \bar{k}$  and*

$$\tilde{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -x & 0 \\ x+1 & 0 & -x \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Solving the linear system (9) for  $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in \bar{k}$ , we find*

$$\left\{ f_1 = \frac{i}{2x}, f_2 = -\frac{i}{2}(x^2+i), f_3 = \frac{i}{2}(-x^2+i) \right\},$$

*and the equation (10) for  $c$  yields  $c'/c = -1/x$  so that we find  $c = a/x$  for an arbitrary constant  $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ .*

*We can then conclude that the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  viewed as a Lie sub-algebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}_3(\mathbb{C})$  admits the basis  $H^t, X^t, Y^t$  and  $R = P[A]$  is in reduced form where:*

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a}{x} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -a & 0 \\ \frac{(x+1)a}{x} & 0 & -a \end{bmatrix}, \quad R = \begin{bmatrix} -x & -x^2 & x \\ x^2+1 & 0 & -1 \\ -2x & -x^2+1 & x \end{bmatrix}.$$

## 7. CONCLUSION

We have provided an algorithm for computing the Lie algebra of the differential Galois group of an absolutely irreducible differential system. The case of a completely reducible system is not handled here only for lack of space but can be tackled by a slightly modified algorithm. It will appear in a future paper. Finally, the case of a reducible system is treated in the forthcoming paper [14].

## 8. REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aparicio Monforte, M. A. Barkatou, S. Simon, and J.-A. Weil. Formal first integrals along solutions of differential systems I. In *ISSAC'11*, pages 19–26. ACM Press, 2011.
- [2] A. Aparicio Monforte, E. Compoint, and J.-A. Weil. A characterization of reduced forms of linear differential systems. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 217(8):1504–1516, 2013.
- [3] M. A. Barkatou. On rational solutions of systems of linear differential equations. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 28:547–567, 1999.
- [4] M. A. Barkatou. Factoring systems of linear functional equations using eigenrings. *Latest Advances in Symbolic Algorithms, Proc. of the Waterloo Workshop, Ontario, Canada (10-12/04/06)*, I. Kotsireas and E. Zima (Eds.), World Scientific:22–42, 2007.
- [5] M. A. Barkatou, T. Cluzeau, C. El Bacha, and J.-A. Weil. INTEGRABLECONNECTIONS project, [http://www.unilim.fr/pages\\_perso/thomas.cluzeau/PDS.html](http://www.unilim.fr/pages_perso/thomas.cluzeau/PDS.html).
- [6] M. A. Barkatou, T. Cluzeau, C. El Bacha, and J.-A. Weil. Computing closed-form solutions of integrable connections. In *ISSAC'12*, pages 43–50. ACM, 2012.
- [7] M. A. Barkatou and E. Pfluegel. ISOLDE (Integration of Systems of Ordinary Linear Differential Equations) project, <http://isolde.sourceforge.net/>.
- [8] M. A. Barkatou and E. Pfluegel. On the equivalence problem of linear differential systems and its application for factoring completely reducible systems. In *ISSAC'98*, pages 268–275. ACM Press, 1998.
- [9] A. Bostan, X. Caruso, and É. Schost. A fast algorithm for computing the  $p$ -curvature. In *ISSAC'15*, pages 69–76. ACM Press, 2015.
- [10] T. Cluzeau. Factorization of differential systems in characteristic  $p$ . In *ISSAC'03*, pages 58–65. ACM Press, 2003.
- [11] E. Compoint and M. F. Singer. Computing Galois groups of completely reducible differential equations. *J. Symbolic Computation*, 28(4-5):473–494, 1999.
- [12] E. Compoint and J.-A. Weil. Absolute reducibility of differential operators and Galois groups. *J. Algebra*, 275(1):77–105, 2004.
- [13] W. de Graaf. *Lie Algebras: Theory and Algorithms*. volume 56 of *North-Holland Mathematical Library*. Elsevier, 2000.
- [14] T. Dreyfus and J.-A. Weil. Computing the Lie algebra of the differential Galois group: the reducible case. Preprint, February 2016.
- [15] R. Feng. Hrushovski’s algorithm for computing the Galois group of a linear differential equation. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 65:1–37, 2015.
- [16] M. A. Graham. *Kronecker Products and Matrix Calculus with Applications*. E. Horwood Series in Math. and its Appl. Wiley & Sons, 1981.
- [17] J. A. Grochow. Matrix Lie algebra isomorphism. In *IEEE Conference on Computational Complexity (CCC12)*, pages 203–213, 2012.
- [18] E. Hrushovski. Computing the Galois group of a linear differential equation. In *Differential Galois theory (Bedlewo, 2001)*, volume 58 of *Banach Center Publ.*, pages 97–138. Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2002.
- [19] J. E. Humphreys. *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, volume 9 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [20] N. M. Katz. A conjecture in the arithmetic theory of differential equations. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 110(2):203–239, 1982.
- [21] K. A. Nguyen and M. van der Put. Solving linear differential equations. *Journal of Pure Appl. Math.*, Q. 6, no. 1, Special Issue: In honor of John Tate. Part 2:173–208, 2010.
- [22] M. F. Singer. Testing reducibility of linear differential operators: a group theoretic perspective. *Appl. Alg. in Engrg. Comm. Comput.*, 7(2):77–104, 1996.
- [23] J. van der Hoeven. Around the numeric-symbolic computation of differential Galois groups. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 42(1-2):236–264, 2007.
- [24] M. van der Put and M. F. Singer. *Galois theory of linear differential equations*, volume 328 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.